

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/027,374	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PATHAK, CHANDRASHEKHAR	
	<b>Examiner</b> Carlos A. Azpuru	<b>Art Unit</b> 1615	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Carlos A. Azpuru.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Maria T. Bautista.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 31 August 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*scope of enablement*
**Claims discussed:**
*1, 13-16*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*n/a*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*It was agreed that the nonpolymeric component coating would be limited to the specific oils of claims 13-16. This would be done by Examiner's Amendment to put the application in condition for allowance.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/027,374	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PATHAK, CHANDRASHEKHAR	
	<b>Examiner</b> Carlos A. Azpuru	<b>Art Unit</b> 1615	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Carlos A. Azpuru.

 (2) Maria T. Bautista.
**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 June 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*Obviousness-type double patenting*
**Claims discussed:**
*all*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*11/397778 & 11/557853*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*It was agreed that the instant application should proceed to allowance with the understanding that copending (and later filed) applications 11/397778 & 11/557853 would be terminally disclaimed over the instant application.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)